UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America

	V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL		
	Antoniette Yamilex Gomez	Case Number: 19-1160MJ		
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts are established: <i>(Check one or both, as applicable.)</i>				
	by clear and convincing evidence the defe	endant is a danger to the community and require		
	the detention of the defendant pending tr	ial in this case.		
\boxtimes	by a preponderance of the evidence the d	efendant is a flight risk and require the detention		
	of the defendant pending trial in this case) .		
PART I FINDINGS OF FACT				
\boxtimes	(1) There is probable cause to b	pelieve that the defendant has committed		
	☑ an offense for which a maximum prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §§ 801 et seq.	term of imprisonment of ten years or more is seq., 951 et seq, or 46 U.S.C. App. § 1901 et		
	□ an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924□ an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332maximum term of imprisonment of ter	b(g)(5)(B) (Federal crimes of terrorism) for which a		
\boxtimes	□ an offense involving a minor victim (2) The defendant has not rebut that no condition or combination appearance of the defendant as re	utted the presumption established by finding 1 in of conditions will reasonably assure the		
Alternative Findings				
\boxtimes	(1) There is a serious risk the combination of conditions will rease as required.	nat the defendant will flee; no condition or onably assure the appearance of the defendant		
	(2) No condition or combination of others and the community.	of conditions will reasonably assure the safety		
		ne defendant will obstruct or attempt to obstruct date a prospective witness or juror.		

PART II -- WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION

(Check one or both, as applicable.)

		(1) I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:
\boxtimes	⊠	(2) I find by a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:
	\boxtimes	The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.
	\boxtimes	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure her future appearance.
		The defendant has a prior criminal history.
		There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.
		The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
		The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of .
⊠	The defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report except:	
\boxtimes	In addition:	
	Defer Mexic arres	ndant's primary family contacts are not in Arizona. Her mother and husband are in co. Defendant has lived in Mexico the majority of her life, including preceding her t.

The Court incorporates by reference the findings in the Pretrial Services Report which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person

in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Judge. Pursuant to Rule 59, FED.R.CRIM.P., Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the District Court. Failure to timely file objections may waive the right to review. See Rule 59, FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Judge to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

Dated this 20th day of March, 2019.

Honorable Deborah M. Fine United States Magistrate Judge